UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,466	02/25/2004	Ivan Thrall Smith	8274-020	9331
4678 MACCORD M	7590 06/06/200 ASON PLLC	EXAMINER		
300 N. GREENE STREET, SUITE 1600			CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E	
P. O. BOX 2974 GREENSBORO, NC 27402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/786,466	SMITH, IVAN THRALL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeanette E. Chapman	3633			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ag</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-20,22-27,29-43 and 45-48</u> is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-20,22-27,29-43 and 45-48</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/30/08.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,5-20,22-27,29-43,45-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Gebhardt et al (4464877) in view of Mulford (4659557) and ASTM E119-95a "Fire Test of Building Construction and Materials Limited Load Conditions (2 x 4 Wood Double Leaf Wall)

Gebhardt et al discloses the first and second building units of accupiable space such as a residential twon home with a two hour first resistance fire rated area separation wall and a thermal insulation layer between the layers of the separation wall; see column 6, lines 26-42 and column 8, lines 59-64.

ASTM discloses a fire rated wall construction shown in figure 1 having an area separtion wall having outer membranes of gypsum board and cellulose insulation between the outer membranes. The separation wall further includes a tying structure of the horizontal "2x4" studs shown in figure 1 for connecting said fire resistance rated, area separation wall (frrasw) to possible first and second building units as those shown by Gebhardt

Mulford discloses a fire rated wall construction having a sheathing fire rated panels 56/58, studs 46/50 and 26 and insulation 48 between the sheathing and the studs. Mulford further discloses a tying structure 40/44 possibly connecting the separation wall first and second units such as those suggested by Gebhardt. The tying structure may include a double plate assembly as shown in figure 5 with element 30 whereby the following modification for figures 6-8 includes a top plate 40 attached to the top edge of the fire resistance rated, area separation wall (frrasw) and a bottom plate 44 attached to the bottom edge of the frrasw. See figures 7 and 8 and corresponding text. The plates are less than 10 feet apart.

Gebhardt discloses that at least a two inch thick gypsum non-bearing partition will meet the requirements of a two hour fire resistance rating.

Mulford discloses an interior support structure.

Mulford discloses structurally independent interior vertical (wallboard panel) support members 46 and 50. The vertical members are spaced apart. The spacing has been considered a matter of choice depending on the other function characteristics to be imparted in the wall structure. The vertical members include cross bracing 22 at about mid—height of the plurality of vertical members. Mulford discloses the vertical members are spaced apart. The spacing has been considered a matter of choice depending on the other function characteristics to be imparted in the wall structure. The vertical

Art Unit: 3633

members include cross bracing 22 at about mid-height of the plurality of vertical members.

ASTM discloses structurally independent vertical wall board panel support member (see studs at 16" O.C in figure 1 and ASTM discloses physical gap 26 between said structurally independent interior support members. See figure 1 of ASTM. Stucco or paint may come in a fire resistant wallboard. One of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated the type of wallboard commensurate with the intended function and purpose of the support structure.

In view of the above, it would have been obvious to use the separation wall between the two building units having a two hour fire resistance rating with a tying structure between the two unit separation walls to provide an effective separation wall.

ASTM discloses organic thermal insulation is used for excellent insulation, mechanical strength and flame resistance. The type of organic thermal insulation has been considered a matter of choice; the selection of ay one type has not been viewed as critical. No criticality has been shown for using any one type. One of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated using any type commensurate with the intended function and purpose of the separation wall.

.Regarding the limitation in the claims preamble of the area separation wall rated for two hours at about 75% design load: The limitation lacks criticality and applicant has not

shown that measurements outside the above limitation do not function. One of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated that a fire resistance rating varies with materials and the weight of the materials employed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3,5-20,22-27,29-43,45-48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeanette E. Chapman whose telephone number is 571-272-6841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-thursday, 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,466 Page 6

Art Unit: 3633

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3633

\*\*\*